

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

CORTEZ DAUNDRE JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

EDMONDS POLICE,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C23-1194JLR


ORDER

Before the court are (1) *pro se* Plaintiff Cortez Daundre Jones's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") (IFP App. (Dkt. # 1)) and (2) United States Magistrate Judge Brian A. Tsuchida's report and recommendation recommending the court deny Mr. Jones's IFP motion (R&R (Dkt. # 2)).¹ Having carefully reviewed the

¹ Although the report and recommendation states that Mr. Jones is permitted to file objections to the report and recommendation by August 23, 2023, the Ninth Circuit has held that a plaintiff is not entitled to submit written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation that IFP status should be denied. *Minetti v. Port of Seattle*, 152 F.2d 1113, 1114 (9th Cir. 1998). Accordingly, the court will not afford Mr. Jones an opportunity to object to the instant report and recommendation.

1 foregoing documents and the governing law, the court ADOPTS the report and
2 recommendation (Dkt. # 2) and DENIES Mr. Jones's motion for leave to proceed IFP
3 (Dkt. # 1). Mr. Jones shall pay the usual filing fee by **September 14, 2023**. If he fails to
4 do so, this case will be dismissed.

5 Dated this 15th day of August, 2023.

6
7 

8 JAMES L. ROBART
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22